

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/750,310	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DICKINSON, COLIN JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rudy Zervigon	<b>Art Unit</b> 1763	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Rudy Zervigon.

 (2) David Hey.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2007
**Time:** 10:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All pending*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since 6/21, the Examiner has made numerous attempts at contacting Mr. Hey to inquire on the status of the case. At least two phone messages were left by the Examiner - one on 6/21 and another on 6/22. No messages were returned. The Examiner checked his voicemail on 6/23 and again on 6/25 and no messages by, or on behalf, of Mr. Hey were received..